

MIGROS TICARET A.S (MGROS)

RUNNERS Sunay KÖSE Burcu GÜLDAŞ Yusuf FETTAHLIOĞLU Bora ŞAHİN Yakup Özgür DAĞGEÇEN





Macro Outlook Food Retail Market

Turkey food retail scorecard

Winners of this era should have: Benefits from rising inflation Low er share of discretionary Higher share of private label Better brand image for trade down Gross margin expansion strategy Resiliency to rising rent expenses Resiliency to rising wages White space grow th appetite Inorganic growth appetite Overall

BIM A101 Sok Migros Carrefoursa 5 5 5 4 4 5 3 2 5 2 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 2 2 3 2 3 2 2 3.9 3.4 3.2 2.7 2.1

Source: HSBC

Macro Outlook

Company Overview

Financials

Valuation

Risk Analysis

Conclution



Macro Outlook GDP



- Following a strong recovery in 2017 and turbulence in spring 2018, economic growth is set to slow but to stay around 5% in 2018 and 2019.
- Theuncertaintiessurroundingtheearlyelections in June, as well aspersistingregionalgeopolitical tensions, createrisks.

- Macro Outlook Company Overview Financials Valuation Risk Analysis Conclution
- The exchange rate remains highly volatile, with the lira depreciating substantially recently despite a significant increase in the policy interest rate, and consumer price inflation is far above target. Disinflation is projected to be slow.

APESSIONS 11º 50/0

Macro Outlook Inflation

Rising food inflation supports growth of Turkish food retailers

- Food inflation is a quite tricky for retailers. There are two angles to it and it depends on what direction • the industry is headed in. In emerging markets and with lower level of competition, rising food inflation comes as a support for food retailers, driving higher basket growth and the top line. If competitive pressure is low, all retailers are likely to pass on prices to consumers.
- However, if modern food retail penetration is high and competition intense, retailers try to take • advantage of high inflation periods to undercut competition and gain traffic to their stores.

CPI reached 24.5% in September, the highest reading in the current series



We see inflation peaking at around 30% in Q1 next year



FX pass-through is clearly higher than the CBRT's 15% estimate...



Source: Turkstat

Conclution

Source: Turkstat, HSBC forecasts

Source: Turkstat, Bloomberg



 The pioneer of organized retailing in Turkey, Migros today offers spacious stores in a wide range of formats and locations whose vast selection of cosmetics, stationery, glass and kitchenware, electronic appliances, book, textiles, and other items along with groceries and other necessities give it the ability to satisfy the shopping needs of its customers.

Source: https://www.migroskurumsal.com/en/Icerik.aspx?IcerikID=227

Macro Outlook

Conclution

Company Overview Financials Valuation Risk Analysis



Macro Outlook

Company Overview

Financials

Valuation

Risk Analysis

Conclution

MIGROS Company Overview

Geographical Footprint	Operating in 3 countries — Turkey (81 cities out of 81) — Macedonia and Kazakhstan
2,011 Stores ¹	 1,742 MiGROS (supermarkets) 50 macroAcenter (upscale supermarkets) 161 kipa (Supermarkets and Hypermarkets) 17 Migros (wholesale and foodservice stores) 41 Ramstore (international stores) Migros Online 1.7m members and 56% mobile orders Acquisition and re-launch of Tazedirekt DICK
Innovation, Loyalty & Customer Service	 7.7m active households Money Club Card Introduced more than 100 innovations for the retail market of HH of HH
Sustainability and Corporate Governance	 Only retail company in the "BIST Sustainability Index" Included Corporate Governance Index since 2015 27,596 employees of which 40% are women Best retailer of the country 14 years in a row²

Wide geograpichal coverage on all over the Turkey (81 cities out of 81)

- Operates 3 countries (Turkey, Macedonia, Kazakhstan)
- Wide variety of stores for differant target customers (Migros, MacroCenter, Kipa, Migros Toptan, Ramstore)
- 2011 stores in total

«Investor Presentation – 2Q 2018 Management Presentation»

MIGROS History / Shareholder Status



20,000

• Migros was established in 1954 (as a joint venture between Swiss Migros & Istanbul Municipality/State Institutions).

Apressions

Macro Outlook

Company Overview

Financials

Valuation

Risk Analysis

Conclution

•

- Throughout its history, the company had 5 different ownership structures.
- Ownership changes did not interfere with the long established Company operating principles and management.





«Investor Presentation – 2Q 2018 Management Presentation»



Financial Structure Debt





- We can easily observe that L/T Debts are much more than S/T Debts which is preferable. Debt amount is nearly stable to support its operations.
- Capital structure is composed of two parameters: one is equity another is debt. Migros is supporting its financials with debt roughly three times more than equity.

1.811 Here I Here Here

Financial Structure Liquidity

Fix Assets vs LTF - MGROS



Fixed Assets L/T Financing



 Fix Assets > Long Term Financing which is unpreferable because, the company needs to support remaining parts with short term financing. (If company's expecting that interest rate will decline then this position can be meaningful)



 Liquidity ratio which is NLF / WCR is not meaningful because both parameters are negative. Negative WCR often arises when a business generates cash so quickly that it can sell a product / service to the customer before it has had to pay its bill to the vendor. So, (-) WCR is reasonable for retail sector.





Financial Structure Operational Efficiency

Operational Efficiency



From 2015 to 2017, Migros's operational efficiency is increasing. Negative numbers are not meaningful because of WCR increase.
(WCR is negative)

Macro Outlook

Company Overview Financials

Valuation

Risk Analysis

Conclution



200

100

0

(100)

- Collection Period Inventory Period
- From 2013 to 2017, cash to cash period is stable and negative which is good for the company.



Financial Structure Operational Efficiency



Macro Outlook

Financials

Valuation **Risk Analysis**

Conclution

Cash to Cash Comparison

Regular trend is available between these two rivals. Migros's cash to cash period is better than BIMAS because of shorter collection period.



Financial Structure Profitability

EBIT vs EBITDA





Capital turnover









Financial Structure Profitability



2014

Fin. multiplier



•

2017

2016's ROE was so bad and shareholders are not satistifed due to low margins.But in 2017 it catched %100.

Macro Outlook Company Overview Financials Valuation **Risk Analysis** Conclution

150.0%

100.0%

50.0%

0.0%

-50.0%

-100.0% -150.0%

-200.0%

2012



Tax effect

Financial Structure Profitability Peer Comparison



5

120,00

10h













Apressions

250/0

Financial Structure Profitability Peer Comparison

25.00 20.00 15.00 5.00 0.00

2014

ROE

2015

2016

BIMAS Fin. multiplier

2017

Financial Multiplier

120,00

10h



Tax Effect



 Due to low financial leverage, BIMAS's ROE performance did not changed in 2017 on the contrary of Migros. Taking too much risk could create bad result for the company in long term.

MGROS ROE BIMAS ROE



notessions

5010

2012

2013

MGROS Fin. multiplier

Conclution



Financial Structure Cash Flow Summary

BIMAS - FCF







NFCF - MGROS vs BIMAS







Stock Valuation DCF

(TRY m)	2018E	2019E	2020E	2021E	2022E	2023E	2024E	2025E	2026E	2027E
Revenues	18,072	21,397	24,835	28,366	31,970	35,925	40,261	44,670	49,119	53,960
Growth (%)	18	18	16	14	13	12	12	11	10	10
EBIT	686	902	1,078	1,262	1,422	1,626	1,846	2,066	2,293	2,589
EBIT margin (%)	3.8	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.8
FX adj. net profit	234	332	407	538	616	736	874	1,014	1,164	1,368
Adj. net margin (%)	1.3	1.6	1.6	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5
Depreciation (+)	299	323	345	366	384	404	428	456	477	503
Chg. in NWC (-)	90	(373)	(386)	(397)	(405)	(444)	(487)	(495)	(500)	(544)
New debt issued (+)	(390)	(335)	(365)	(396)	(435)	(472)	(510)	(551)	(595)	(643)
Capital Exp. (-)	402	401	405	384	429	478	532	496	549	607
FCFE	(350)	292	368	520	540	634	747	919	997	1165

Var

To reflect our revised EBITDA estimates, we raise our DCF-driven target price to TRY27 from TRY16,16. In our DCF model, we adopt a FCFE method and adjust net profits according to non-cash FX losses of the company. We continue to employ a cost of equity of 18.3x for 2018, derived from 12.25% risk free rate and 5.5% equity risk premium. As we expect deleveraging in the company's balance sheet long term, our levered beta estimate eases each year. Our current DCF valuation indicates a 12-month target price of TRY27, implying a lucrative 67% upside potential.

Macro Outlook

APESSIONS

Risk free rate (%)

Unlevered beta

Cost of equity (%)

PV of terminal value

Terminal growth rate (%)

Levered beta

PV of FCFE

Equity risk premium (%)

12.25

5.5

0.60

1 0 9

18.3

2.254

2.611

5.0

Company Overview Financials Valuation Risk Analysis

Conclution



Stock Valuation Investment Thesis

 Migros is the main beneficiary of the on-going consolidation in Turkey's retail sector as it is the biggest national supermarket chain excluding hard discounters. The company has acquired Kipa, Uyum and Makro supermarket chains in the past 1.5 year. The company's inorganic growth strengthens the purchasing power of Migros due to increasing scale.



Loss-making Kipa registered outstanding recovery in profitability after Migros' take over. We expect further improvement in margins once the merger process is finalized in 2018. We estimate 30bp y-y improvement in consolidated EBITDA margin for Migros. Our estimate indicates 23% y-y increase in EBITDA in 2018.



Stock Valuation Catalyst

Catalysts

- High food inflation supports the company's like-for-like growth and new store openings further contribute to top-line growth.
- Possible new acquisitions, asset sales (particularly Kipa's real estates) may act as catalysts for share price in the medium term.





Risk to our call

- Migros had EUR600m short FX position as of 31 October 2018. Thus, the bottom-line of Migros is very sensitive to changes in EUR/TRY parity.
- Depreciation of TRY against EUR is a downside risk. Other downside risks are lower food inflation, higher minimum wage increases, lower store openings and stiffer competition.



 Separately, BC Partners announced that it plans to sell its remaining Migros shares in the short to medium term, creating an overhang risk on Migros's share price.

1991 1 1990 Level Level

Macro Outlook

Company Overviev

inancials

Valuation

Risk Analysis

Conclution

Conclusion

- In our view, those FX risks are already priced by the market & overall the next twothree quarters will be a period of strong top-line growth for food retailers in Turkey, aided by high food inflation levels. We can use that in the presentation
- A slowdown in economic growth dampens overall growth prospects, but with food retail being defensive, we see minimal impact on consumption levels. A high inflation environment also helps drive higher gross margin.
- Over the years Migros has invested in private labels, aimed at keeping prices competitive with respect to discounters, which we believe lends some support to Migros' store traffic.
- Also with the Kipa merger there is also an inorganic growth potential. The merger was completed at the end of August and management expects a positive impact by the synergies to be visible next year.